

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE 10/719,602 11/21/2003 Samantha K. Holme (020001)-07-LAV 3118 **EXAMINER** 7590 06/02/2006 ALLEN R. KIPNES, ESQ. GRAFFEO, MICHEL WATOV & KIPNES, P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P. O. BOX 247 PRINCETON JUNCTION, NJ 08550 1614

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/719	1,602	HOLME ET AL.	HOLME ET AL.	
		Exami	ner	Art Unit		
			Graffeo	1614		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time n after SIX (6) MONTI - If NO period for repl - Failure to reply withi Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD F S LONGER, FROM THE M hay be available under the provisions 4S from the mailing date of this come y is specified above, the maximum signified above, the maximum signified above, the maximum signified by the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN be event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) Responsiv	ve to communication(s) file	ed on .				
·= ·	` .	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.			
´ <u></u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Clai	·	·	•			
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33 and 35-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10,15,16,18,19,26-28 and 36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9, 11-14,17,20-25,29-33,35,37 and 38</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-33 and 35-38 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	;					
_	ication is objected to by th	e Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119				•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Cer	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)			_			
1) Notice of Reference 2) Notice of Draftspe		PTO-048\	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 				Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/719,602 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of carbamide peroxide, sodium tripolyphosphate, chewing gum and sodium stearate and/or sodium palmitate in the reply filed on 7 April 2006 is acknowledged. Applicant traversed only to the extent that the Restriction seeks to establish patentably distinct inventions for respective species. Examiner confirms that the requirement was for an election of species to enable a search within a reasonable amount of time and if such election is free of the art, the Examiner will proceed to examine the remainder of species presented in the claims. Claims 10, 15-16, 18-19, 26-28 and 36 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Examiner notes that claim 10 was recited by Applicant as reading on the elected claims, but upon closer inspection does not claim the elected anionic surfactant and is being withdrawn therefore. Election is being considered made without traverse in the reply filed on 7 April 2006 since the traverse was to the extent that the Restriction seeks to establish patentably distinct inventions for respective species and therefore not applicable at this time.

Art Unit: 1614

Status of Action

The preliminary Amendment (Filed 21 November 2003) canceled claim 34 and, presented new claims 35-38 and amended claim 1. Claims 1-19, 11-14, 17, 20-25, 29-33, 35, 37 and 38 are examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-9, 11-14, 17, 20-25, 29-33, 35, 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application No. 2003/0072841 to Rajaiah et al.

Rajaiah et al. teach every limitation elected in the examined claims. Specifically Rajaiah et al. teach a chewing gum (in current claims 1-14, 17, 20-25, 29-33, 35, 37 and 38; see Title) and a method of use (in current claim 33; see paragraph 79) useful to prevent staining (see paragraph 7) which comprises from .01 – 40% carbamide peroxide (see paragraph 39), from 1-25% sodium tripolyphosphate (see paragraph 32), polyvinylacetate (see paragraph 53) and sodium stearate in an amount of up to 30%

Art Unit: 1614

(see paragraph 62) and further comprising a carrier material such as a wax (in current claims 30-32; see paragraph 14).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-9, 11-14, 17, 20-25, 29-33, 35, 37 and 38 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6685916. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a confectionary of the '916 Patent includes a chewing gum (see in particular claim 21). Additionally, one of ordinary skill in the art would find a chewing gum obvious over a confectionary since the

Application/Control Number: 10/719,602 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

'916 Patent is directed to a stain removing composition which is a chewing gum or confectionary.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michel Graffeo whose telephone number is 571-272-8505. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am to 5:30pm Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

16 May 2006 MG

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER